

# **Modelling Predictions of Seabed Vulnerability to Climate-Crisis-Driven Changes over North Western European shelf**

Aims and Objectives.

Understand the combined impacts of climate change and OWFs resulting in accelerated ecologically relevant seafloor change. How will future climate driven changes to wind, waves, tides and sea-level combine to influence flow and thus bed stress across the UK continental shelf? How will changing hydrodynamic forces around OWFs combine with those due to the climate crisis to drive seabed change the Eastern Irish Sea?





# What physical processes impact the seabed?

Regular tides and periodic storms generate waves and currents which exert stress at the seabed.

The tides are predictable, and can generate stresses around  $3 \text{ Nm}^2$ 

Waves caused by storms are hard to predict, as they depend on passing weather events, how ever they can exert stresses of 10 Nm<sup>2</sup>

These natural processes may change in the future due to climate change

The stresses may also change around OWF, where monopiles, cables, or anchors are present at the seabed

Forcing



### What has the biggest impact at the seabed?

We demonstrate that while future sea level rise will lead to constant and predictable changes in seabed stress and related sediment mobilization, these changes are small compared to the impact of transient events, such as winter storms.

#### Results show the potential magnitude and range of changes that can be expected in the future, as well as the significance of extreme events. The impact of these future changes will be dominated by wave activity.

As climate change reduces mobility of the seabed, the impacts of OWF may be felt more strongly as a consequence. However these impacts will be site specific, and strongly controlled by seabed type.

#### It's the storm waves!

# Why does this matter for offshore structures?

PRIFYSGOL

BANGOR

hrwallingford

Scour and wakes behind monopiles can change local current and seabed stresses. How big are these seabed impacts compared to natural variability due to tides and storms? What about compared to bottom trawling or aggregate extraction?









Current bottom friction ( $\tau_c$ ) estimated as per Soulsby and Karman constant (k).

$$\tau_c = \frac{\rho C_d U_{bar}^2}{2} \qquad C_d = \left(\frac{\kappa}{\log(0.5e^t/z_0)}\right)^2$$

velocity as a function of near bottom rms velocity (Uw) as per density (  $\rho$  ) and the friction factor (  $f_w$  ) based on the roughness length (z0) set as constant 3.0×10-3.

$$w = \frac{\rho f_w {U_w}^2}{2} \qquad \qquad Uw = \sqrt{2 U_{rms}}$$

estimated from combined currents and wave bottom orbital

Programme

#### Individual storms can generate wave stresses 3 times bigger than the regular tides



Seabed composition datasets were gathered to combine with bed stresses for sediment mobility maps, illustrating how

