

Man-made earthquakes From field studies to laboratory exposure



Jordan Burgess^{1,2}, Sophie Al-Mudallal², Krysia Mazik¹, Katharine Clayton¹, Thomas Breithaupt³

¹School of Environmental Sciences, University of Hull, Hull, UK, ²Energy and Environment Institute, University of Hull, UK ³School of Natural Sciences, University of Hull, Hull, UK

There's more to sound than meets the ear...

Increased Pressure Propagation of sound

- 2 components to sound sound pressure and particle motion
- Particles move backwards and forwards (compression and rarefaction) to allow a sound pressure wave to travel
- Particle motions may travel in the form of air-borne, water-borne or substrate-borne
- Installation and operation of turbines come into direct contact with the seabed → substrateborne particle motion

Invertebrates do not have a compressible, air-filled space and instead show sensitivity to particle motion (and vibration) through:

Surface receptors (sensory hairs), internal statocycts (fluid filled chamber) and chordontal organs



Turbines are fixed to the substrate, so vibration arising from installation or operational parts will produce vibrations that travel through the substrate, in the form of substrate-borne particle motion (seismic interface waves)

Existing data on substrate vibration from operational or impulsive vibration sources are scarce with no standardisation for measurements

Continuous – operational vibration

Field study (Burgess et al., 2023)

Measured at an **onshore 2.0 MW turbine on the tower, foundation** and **soil** at varying wind speeds

Data compared to sensitivities of different invertebrates and used to inform the lab study



Impulsive - pile-driving

Field study (Al-Mudallal et al., in prep)

Substrate-vibration measured with an accelerometer at a small pile driver in Cleethorpes, UK

Data collected at **increasing distances** to measure vibration through the substrate (0 - 300 metres from pile)

Substrate-vibration **decreasing** with **distance**, but still **recorded** up to **300 metres away**

Vibration recorded 30m from a small pile driver is:

300x the sensitivity threshold of the common hermit crab (*Pagurus bernhardus*)
100x the sensitivity threshold of the blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)





The **amplitudes** and frequency will **change** with wind speed, which may **prevent acclimation**

Overlaps may cause **behavioural** or

with invertebrate sensitivities

physiological changes

10m

At an onshore turbine, there are **overlaps**

Fig 2. Accelerometer placement

Fig 3. Amplitudes measured on turbine by frequency

Continuous vibration – in the lab

Continuous (sinusoidal) **vibration** is produced using an **underwater speaker** Speaker is fixed to a PVC sheet, where substrate vibrations will travel through



Fig 5. Vibration exposure experimental set up at University of Hull

- The speaker can produce vibrations in line with field measurements (Fig 3) and existing data:
- Species sensitivity thresholds: Blue mussel 60 mms⁻² (0.6 ms⁻²) (Roberts et al., 2015),

Vibration at this level has been seen to cause irreversible damage (Day et al., 2019)

Impulsive vibration – in the lab

Impulsive vibration produced using a purpose-built **pile driver** Using a **dead-blow hammer,** pile driver strikes create **impulsive substrate-vibrations** through plywood resting on the table

The amplitude and frequency can be manipulated through adjusting the hammer arm and strike rate



Common hermit crab – 20 mms⁻² (0.02ms⁻²) (Roberts et al., 2016)

- **Up to 100 mms⁻² (0.1 ms⁻²)** (Fig 3) for a small wind turbine -> data is lacking for larger turbines
- Vibration at **5m distance from dredging** activity produces vibration at approximately **200 mms⁻² (0.2ms⁻²)**

We use a large flume to make lab-based experiments more reliable (Fig 5)

 Many studies use tanks that are too small which can cause sound and vibration to behave differently (intensifying the signal) **Fig 7.** Pile driver experimental set up at University of Hull

Fig 8. Example of impulsive waveform

The pile driver can produce impulsive vibrations in line with current literature and field measurements (Fig 4):

- Up to 6 ms⁻² based on substrate-vibration recorded from a small pile driver (Fig 4)
- 10ms⁻² recorded 8m from a vibratory hammer (Jézéquel et al., 2023)

Conclusions

The set-ups can generate reproducible vibrations that are representative of operational and pile driving activities from offshore wind turbines. These vibrations fall within the sensitivity ranges of marine and benthic invertebrates

Burgess, J., Thomas, S., Mazik, K., Al-Mudallal, S., Tang, S.K., Breithaupt, T. (2023). Effect of Operational Wind-Turbine Vibration on Surface-Dwelling Invertebrates. In: Popper, A.N., Sisneros, J., Hawkins, A.D., Thomsen, F. (eds) The Effects of Noise on Aquatic Life. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-19838-6, Roberts, L. et al. (2015) 'Sensitivity of the mussel mytilus edulis to substrate-borne vibration in relation to anthropogenically generated noise', *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 538, pp. 185–195. doi:10.3354/meps11468. , Roberts, L. et al. (2016) 'Sensitivity of pagurus bernhardus (L.) to substrate-borne vibration and anthropogenic noise', *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 474, pp. 185–194. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2015.09.014.